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How commercial banks should allocate their deposits among three different agents: households, firms, and the government to achieve socially optimal allocation?

- Optimal allocation depends on the discount factor and risk factor
- $60 \%$ of total loan to impatient households and firms, rest government


## My Contribution

Identify socially optimal loan allocations to agents in the economy by the commercial banks

## Outline

- Model
- Results
- Conclusion


## Model



Figure 1: Graphical view of the overall model

## Patient Households

- Households maximize their expected discounted lifetime utility given by:

$$
E_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(\beta_{p}\right)^{t}\left[\ln C_{p, t}-\theta_{p} \frac{N_{p, t}^{1+\chi}}{1+\chi}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { s.t. } C_{p, t}+D_{t+1}+I_{t}=W_{t} N_{p, t}+R_{t} K_{t}+\left(1+r_{p, t-1}\right) D_{t}+\Pi_{t}-T_{p, t} \\
\text { and } K_{t+1}=I_{t}+(1-\delta) K_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

First order conditions give the following equilibrium conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{p} N_{p, t}^{\chi}=\frac{W_{t}}{C_{p, t}}  \tag{1}\\
\frac{1}{C_{p, t}}=\beta_{p} E_{t}\left[\frac{1}{C_{p, t+1}}\left(R_{t+1}+1-\delta\right)\right]  \tag{2}\\
\frac{1}{C_{p, t}}=\beta_{p} E_{t}\left[\frac{1}{C_{p, t+1}}\left(1+r_{p, t}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Impatient Households

- Households maximize their expected discounted lifetime utility given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(\beta_{I}\right)^{t}\left[\ln C_{i, t}-\theta_{I} \frac{N_{i, t}^{1+\chi}}{1+\chi}\right] \\
& \text { s.t. } C_{i, t}+\left(1+r_{i, t-1}\right) L_{i, t}=W_{t} N_{i, t}+L_{i, t+1}-T_{i, t}
\end{aligned}
$$

First order conditions give the following equilibrium conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{I} N_{i, t}^{\chi}=\frac{W_{t}}{C_{i, t}}  \tag{4}\\
\frac{1}{C_{i, t}}=\beta_{I} E_{t}\left[\frac{1}{C_{i, t+1}}\left(1+r_{i, t}\right)\right] \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Firms

- Firms' problem can be expressed by the following maximization problem:

$$
E_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} M_{t}\left(A_{t} K_{t}^{\alpha} N_{t}^{1-\alpha}-W_{t} N_{t}-R_{t} K_{t}+L_{f, t+1}-\left(1+r_{f, t-1}\right) L_{f, t}\right)
$$

Define the stochastic discount factor as:

$$
M_{t}=\beta_{f}^{t} \frac{U^{I^{\prime}}\left(C_{i, t}\right)}{U^{I^{\prime}}\left(C_{i, 0}\right)}
$$

First order conditions give the factor prices equal to their marginal products:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1-\alpha) N_{t}^{-\alpha} A_{t} K_{t}^{\alpha}=W_{t}  \tag{6}\\
\alpha N_{t}^{1-\alpha} A_{t} K_{t}^{\alpha-1}=R_{t}  \tag{7}\\
\frac{1}{C_{i, t}}=\beta_{f} E_{t}\left[\frac{1}{C_{i, t+1}}\left(1+r_{f, t}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Government

- Government's budget constraint can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{t}+r_{g, t-1} L_{g, t}=T_{t}+L_{g, t+1}-L_{g, t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Banks maximize the expected discounted profit $E_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} B_{t} \pi_{t}$.
- Hence, bank's problem can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} & B_{t}\left(D_{t+1}+\left(1+r_{f, t-1}\right) L_{f, t}+\left(1+r_{g, t-1}\right) L_{g, t}\right. \\
& +\left(1+r_{i, t-1}\right) L_{i, t}-L_{f, t+1}-L_{g, t+1}-L_{i, t+1} \\
& \left.-\left(1+r_{p, t-1}\right) D_{t}-\frac{\phi_{f}}{2} L_{f, t+1}^{2}-\frac{\phi_{g}}{2} L_{g, t+1}^{2}-\frac{\phi_{i}}{2} L_{i, t+1}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \text { s.t. } D_{t+1}=L_{f, t+1}+L_{g, t+1}+L_{i, t+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the stochastic discount factor as: $B_{t}=\beta_{B}^{t} \frac{U^{P^{\prime}}\left(C_{p, t}\right)}{U^{P^{\prime}}\left(C_{p, 0}\right)}$

## First order conditions give the following equilibrium:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{f} \frac{1}{C_{p, t}} L_{f, t+1} & =\beta_{B} \frac{1}{C_{p, t+1}}\left(r_{f, t}-r_{p, t}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\phi_{g} \frac{1}{C_{p, t}} L_{g, t+1} & =\beta_{B} \frac{1}{C_{p, t+1}}\left(r_{g, t}-r_{p, t}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\phi_{i} \frac{1}{C_{p, t}} L_{i, t+1} & =\beta_{B} \frac{1}{C_{p, t+1}}\left(r_{i, t}-r_{p, t}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## Exogenous Processes

## (1) Productivity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A_{t}=\left(1-\rho_{a}\right) \ln A+\rho_{a} \ln A_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{a, t} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=1,0<\rho_{a}<1$ is the $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ persistence parameter and $\varepsilon_{a, t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{a}^{2}\right)$.
(2) Government expenditure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln g_{t}=\left(1-\rho_{g}\right) \ln g+\rho_{g} \ln g_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{g, t} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=1,0<\rho_{g}<1$, is the $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ persistence parameter and $\varepsilon_{g, t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{g}^{2}\right)$.

## The Ramsey Problem

- Ramsey planer's maximization problem can be written as:

$$
W=\omega \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_{p}^{t} U^{p}\left(C_{p, t}, N_{p, t}\right)+(1-\omega) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta_{I}^{t} U^{I}\left(C_{i, t}, N_{i, t}\right)
$$

subject to the equilibrium conditions (1) - (12) and resource constraint for a given stochastic process $\left\{A_{t}, G_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$.

## Calibration

Table 1: Calibrated parameters for the model

| Parameters | Value | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta_{p}$ | 0.99 | Subjective discount factor for the patient household |
| $\beta_{I}$ | 0.96 | Subjective discount factor for the impatient household |
| $\beta_{f}$ | 0.96 | Subjective discount factor for firms |
| $\beta_{B}$ | 0.99 | Subjective discount factor for banks |
| $\alpha$ | 0.30 | Capital share of production |
| $\chi$ | 0.35 | Elasticity of labor supply with respect to wage |
| $\theta_{p}$ | 5.25 | Disutility of labor by patient household |
| $\theta_{I}$ | 5.25 | Disutility of labor by impatient household |
| $\phi_{I}$ | 0.015 | Risk factor of impatient household on loan |
| $\phi_{g}$ | 0.003 | Risk factor of government on loan |
| $\phi_{f}$ | 0.015 | Risk factor of firm on loan |
| $\omega$ | 0.5 | Ramsey preference weight |
| $\delta$ | 0.025 | Depreciation of capital |
| $\rho_{a}$ | 0.92 | Serial correlation of technology shocks |
| $\rho_{g}$ | 0.92 | Serial correlation of government expenditure shocks |
| $y_{s s}$ | 1.5 | Stady state of output |
| $\sigma_{z}$ | 0.0026 | Standard deviation of the innovation to ln(z) |
| $\sigma_{u}$ | 0.0018 | Standard deviation of the innovation to government ex- |
|  |  | penditure |

## RESULTS: Comparison of Private Sector and Ramsey Planner Solution

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of variables: Ramsey vs private market

| Variable | Ramsey Solution |  | Private Sector Solution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std.Dev | Mean | Std.Dev |
| $C_{p}$ | 0.5090 | 0.0034 | 0.5059 | 0.0003 |
| $N_{p}$ | 0.3011 | 0.0045 | 0.3064 | 0.0029 |
| $C_{i}$ | 0.4672 | 0.0055 | 0.4696 | 0.0034 |
| $N_{i}$ | 0.3847 | 0.0052 | 0.3529 | 0.0038 |
| $R$ | 0.0351 | 0.0003 | 0.0351 | 0.0001 |
| $I$ | 0.3675 | 0.0093 | 0.3673 | 0.0029 |
| $y$ | 1.7200 | 0.0148 | 1.7191 | 0.0043 |
| $w$ | 1.7557 | 0.0145 | 1.7557 | 0.0066 |
| $r_{p}$ | 0.0101 | 0.0002 | 0.0101 | 0.0001 |
| $r_{i}$ | 0.0417 | 0.0006 | 0.0417 | 0.0005 |
| $r_{f}$ | 0.0417 | 0.0006 | 0.0417 | 0.0005 |
| $r_{g}$ | 0.0184 | 0.0002 | 0.0184 | 0.0001 |
| $L_{f}$ | 2.0833 | 0.0268 | 2.0833 | 0.0346 |
| $L_{g}$ | 2.7240 | 0.0061 | 2.7240 | 0.0007 |
| $L_{i}$ | 2.0833 | 0.0268 | 2.0833 | 0.0346 |
| $t$ | 0.3500 | 0.0000 | 0.3500 | 0.0000 |
| $k$ | 14.7001 | 0.0940 | 14.6927 | 0.0098 |
| $G$ | 0.3000 | 0.0000 | 0.3000 | 0.0000 |
| $A$ | 1.0000 | 0.0066 | 1.0000 | 0.0034 |
| c | 0.9762 | 0.0080 | 0.9755 | 0.0036 |
| $N$ | 0.6858 | 0.0031 | 0.6854 | 0.0009 |
| D | 6.8906 | 0.0506 | 6.8907 | 0.0692 |
| $\frac{L_{i}}{L}$ | 0.3023 | 0.0017 | 0.3023 | 0.0020 |
| $\frac{L_{f}}{L}$ | 0.3023 | 0.0017 | 0.3023 | 0.0020 |
| $\frac{L_{g}}{L}$ | 0.3953 | 0.0034 | 0.3953 | 0.0040 |
| $t_{p}$ | 0.2377 | 0.0146 | 0.2500 | 0.0000 |
| $t_{i}$ | 0.1123 | 0.0146 | 0.1000 | 0.0000 |

## RESULTS: Comparison of Private Sector and Ramsey Planner Solution

Table 3: Comparisons of loan ratios of each agent: Ramsey vs market

|  | Ramsey Solution |  |  | Private Sector Solution |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Std.Dev |  | Mean | Std.Dev |  |
| Loan to Impatient HH/Total Loan | $30.23 \%$ | 0.0017 |  | $30.23 \%$ | 0.002 |  |
| Loan to Firm/Total Loan | $30.23 \%$ |  | 0.0017 |  | $30.23 \%$ | 0.002 |
| Loan to Government/Total Loan | $39.53 \%$ | 0.0034 |  | $39.53 \%$ | 0.004 |  |

Table 4: Comparison of loan ratio to toal loan according to different risk of Ramsey optimal policy problem

| Equal risk among all agents | 0.015 | 0.026 | 0.1 | 1 | 2 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan to Impatient HH/Total Loan | $36.68 \%$ | $33.34 \%$ | $24.41 \%$ | $11.16 \%$ | $8.40 \%$ |
| Loan to Firm/Total Loan | $36.68 \%$ | $33.34 \%$ | $24.41 \%$ | $11.16 \%$ | $8.40 \%$ |
| Loan to Government/Total Loan | $26.65 \%$ | $33.31 \%$ | $51.18 \%$ | $77.68 \%$ | $83.21 \%$ |

## RESULTS: Effect of Discount Factor on Risk

Table 5: Comparison of loan ratio to total loan according to discount factor in high risk region ( $\phi_{i}=2, \phi_{g}=0.4, \phi_{f}=2$ ) of Ramsey optimal policy problem

| Impatient HH <br> Discount Factor | Loan Impatient <br> HH/Total Loan | Loan Firm/Total <br> Loan | Loan Gov/Total Loan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9 | $16.55 \%$ | $5.17 \%$ |  |
| 0.93 | $11.34 \%$ | $5.49 \%$ | $78.28 \%$ |
| 0.95 | $7.70 \%$ | $5.72 \%$ | $83.17 \%$ |
| 0.97 | $3.93 \%$ | $5.95 \%$ | $86.58 \%$ |
| 0.989 | $1.00 \%$ | $6.13 \%$ | $90.12 \%$ |

Table 6: Comparison of loan ratio to total loan according to discount factor in low risk region ( $\phi_{i}=0.015, \phi_{g}=0.003, \phi_{f}=0.015$ ) of Ramsey optimal policy problem

| Impatient HH <br> Discount Factor | Loan Impatient <br> HH/Total Loan | Loan Firm/Total <br> Loan | Loan Gov/Total Loan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9 | $58.10 \%$ | $18.16 \%$ | $23.74 \%$ |
| 0.93 | $47.22 \%$ | $22.87 \%$ | $29.91 \%$ |
| 0.95 | $36.86 \%$ | $27.36 \%$ | $35.77 \%$ |
| 0.97 | $22.24 \%$ | $33.70 \%$ | $44.06 \%$ |
| 0.989 | $6.58 \%$ | $40.49 \%$ | $52.94 \%$ |

## RESULTS: Effect of Risk on Loan Allocation



Figure 1: Loan allocation to impatient HH at different risk (left: $\phi_{i}=0.003-1.00, \phi_{g}=0.003, \phi_{f}=0.015$ ) and

Loan allocation to government at different risk
(right: $\phi_{i}=0.015, \phi_{g}=0.015-0.000015, \phi_{f}=0.015$ )

## RESULTS: Impulse Response Function of Loans to Shocks



Figure 2: The impulse response functions to technology shocks and government expenditure shocks under Ramsey equilibrium and private market equilibrium

## RESULTS: Impulse Response Function of Loans to Shocks for Different Weight



Figure 3: The impulse response functions to technology shocks for different weights

## RESULTS: Impulse Response Function to Tech-Shock



Figure 4: Impulse response to Tech-shock: Ramsey vs private market

## RESULTS: Impulse Response Function to Gov-Shock



Figure 5: Impulse response to government expenditure shock: Ramsey vs private market

- Optimal credit allocation is mainly dependent on two factors
- Discount factor
- Risk factor
- Discount factor does not exert an important influence on optimal loan allocation when risk is high but, highly influential in the presence of low risk
- When the risk of households increases, optimal loan to households converges to zero.
- When the risk of the government decreases, the optimal loan to government reaches its upper bound of $55 \%$ of total loans
- $60 \%$ of the total loan should be allocated equally between households and firms and the rest should be allocated to the government


## Thank you!

