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The conventional MP framework focused on maintaining price stability (PS).

A lesson learned from the GFC is financial imbalances could build up even under low and stable inflation and closed output gaps.
Emerging MP Framework

- Link (1): PS and FS are mutually beneficial and re-enforcing
- Link (2): FC and BC are related
- Link (3): Interaction between MP and MaP
Financial Cycle and Its Implications
**FC** is calculated by averaging 4 sub-indices: credit gap, credit-to-GDP gap, land price index gap, and house price index gap, by using CF-filter, see Drehmann et al. (2012) “Characterising the financial cycle: don’t lose sight of the medium term!”

**Non-financial private credit (household + corporate) is used.**

- **FC is a summary measure of financial imbalances**
- The determinants of FC are primarily cycles of credit** and asset prices
- Peaks are used as a predictor of financial crisis
Duration and amplitude of FC (red) are higher than those of BC (blue)*
Economic recessions are more severe during the financial crises period

* BC is measured by output gap.
The magnitude of FC inversely impacts the magnitude of future GDP growth.

Example: Around the 5th percentile of (historical) GDP growth, if FC increases by 1%, GDP growth (next year) decreases by 0.27%.

* We use quantile regression for panel data (with country dummy variables) of 9 countries over the period of 1993-2017. Dependent variable is one-year ahead GDP growth while the independent variable is FC.

** Data comprises 2 groups: (i) emerging economies (ii) advanced economies, gathered from BIS, OECD and CEIC.
FC and Crisis Probability

Forward-looking crisis probability in Thailand (1-3 years ahead)

- Crisis probability can be derived by mean of cross-country panel logistic regression*
- This can be used as an early warning indicator for systemic crisis up to 1-3 years ahead
- A threshold of crisis probability enables policymakers to get an idea of an early warning state

* See Anundsen et al. (2016) “Bubbles and crises: The role of house prices and credit”. The same data set as in the quantile regression analysis is used and mapped to individual systemic crises (see crises database in Laeven and Valencia (2013), “Systemic banking crises database: An update”).
Derivation of Early Warning Threshold

- Two criteria* used to identify thresholds for an early warning state of financial crisis
  - i) Capturing 2/3 of the crises
  - ii) Minimizing the noise-to-signal ratio \( \frac{FPR}{TPR} \)

* See Aldasoro et al. (2018), Early Warning Indicators of Banking Crises: Expanding the Family, BIS Quarterly Review, March 2018.
FS-oriented Monetary Policy Decision
A Simple MP Trade-off

- In ‘complementary’ zone (green), policy that addresses PS would also benefit FS.
- In ‘opposite’ direction (red), we need to trade-off between PS and FS.

*Projected path (2018 Q1 -2019 Q) for FC consistent with 4-6% credit growth and historical house price growth.
A Core Model with Financial Variables

- A structural VAR model comprises GDP, CPI, RP1, LAND and CREDIT

\[ X_t = A_0 X_t + A_1 X_{t-1} + A_2 X_{t-2} + e_t + DUBAI_{1t} + REER_{1t} \]

where \[ X_t = (CPI_{1t}, GDP_{1t}, RP1_{1t}, LAND_{1t}, CREDIT_{1t})' \]

- The model is experimentally used to investigate the IRFs of policy rate shock on macro and financial variables.

* See Disyatat and Vongsinsirikul (2003) “Monetary policy and the transmission mechanism in Thailand”.
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Analytical Framework

- $\Delta FC$ is calculated by impulse responses of CREDIT and LAND
- $\Delta FC$ impacts future GDP growth and probability of crisis
• The simulation exercise enables policymakers to evaluate costs and benefits of LAW

• Short run [cost]: Cut down GDP growth by 0.10% - 0.18% (via BOT’s macro-model)

• Long run [benefit]: Improve future GDP growth by 0.01% (via quantile regression) and mitigate crisis prob. by 0.91 % (via panel logistic regression)
Summary
Steps to Incorporating FS into MP

**STEP 1**
Assessing FS risk
- Source of financial imbalances?
- Development of financial imbalance going forward?
- Potential consequence on the economy?

**STEP 2**
Should MP react?
- Effectiveness of MP
- Costs and benefits analysis:
  - [-] Decelerating economic growth in the short run
  - [+ ] Stability in financial sector, leading to sustainable growth

**STEP 3**
MP policy decision
- Balancing 3 objectives:
  - (i) Price stability
  - (ii) Economic growth
  - (iii) Financial stability
Conclusions

- FS becomes more important to the conduct of MP

- A systematic approach to incorporate FS into MP framework is considered:
  - Simple trade-off: Quadrant of BC vs. FC
  - Analytical trade-off: PS (short-run) vs. FS (long-run)

- FC is an overall measure for FS. It should be complemented with a set of
disaggregate indicators to capture all pocket of vulnerabilities
Forthcoming Research

- Developing macro-model (e.g. DSGE with financial frictions) to enhance quantitative analysis
- Analyzing macro-financial linkage using micro and balance sheet data
- The interaction between MP and MaP to design an optimal policy mix
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